

**[EK-24]: LUND BÖLGE MAHKEMESİ LUND CEZA DAVALARI BÖLÜMÜ, UMUT ÖZKIRIMLI'YA KARŞI PINAR DİNÇ, B 6064-20 NUMARALI DOSYA KAPSAMINDA, 27 EYLÜL 2021 TARİHİNDEKİ DURUŞMASINDA tanıklık yapan Christopher Edling'in beyanının bulunduğu video (09:06 dakikasından itibaren) (Yazılı halleri Sayın Mahkemenize sunulacaktır)**  
[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AxoXPmG\\_bdc](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AxoXPmG_bdc)

#### **EK-25: BELGELERİN KARŞILAŞTIRILMASI**

#### **PINAR DİNÇ'İN PAYLAŞTIĞI BELGE**

<https://t24.com.tr/haber/universitede-taciz-ifsasi-pinar-dinc-in-suclamalari-ve-umut-ozkirimli-nin-aciklamasi,882750>

has experienced that you threatened the person. The employer has investigated the events and subsequently found that you had a threat to a colleague, harassed a colleague and exposed a student an offensive treatment. You have also acted in violation of the Prohibition of Prohibition in the Discrimination Act by indirectly threatening both student and employee with reprisals for the events. The employer looks very serious about it, especially considering the professional role you expect to take and stick to, in relation to the victims, and that you have violated the above-mentioned obligations by:

- Repeated acted inappropriate and exposed employee and student for harassment. The action has had negative consequences for the activities, employees, students and the working environment at [REDACTED].
- Repeatedly acted improperly in relation to students in deprivation, by requiring intercourse in environments and contexts that go beyond the duties and assignments that may be considered normal for a lecturer.
- By expressing threats to reprisals against employees, you have committed a violation of the reprisal ban in the Discrimination Act.

#### **DAVALININ PAYLAŞTIĞI BELGE**

that you have violated the above-mentioned obligations by:

- ☐ Repeated acted inappropriate and exposed employee and student for harassment. The action has had negative consequences for the activities, employees, students and the working environment at [REDACTED].
- ☐ Repeatedly acted improperly in relation to students in deprivation, by requiring intercourse in environments and contexts that go beyond the duties and assignments that may be considered normal for a lecturer.
- ☐ By expressing threats to reprisals against employees, you have committed a violation of the reprisal ban in the Discrimination Act.

has experienced that you threatened the person. The employer has investigated the events and subsequently found that you had a threat to a colleague, harassed a colleague and exposed a student an offensive treatment. You have also acted in violation of the Prohibition of Prohibition in the Discrimination Act by indirectly threatening both student and employee with reprisals for the events. The employer looks very serious about it, especially considering the professional role you expect to take and stick to, in relation to the victims, and that you have violated the above-mentioned obligations by:

- Repeated acted inappropriate and exposed employee and student for harassment. The action has had negative consequences for the activities, employees, students and the working environment at [REDACTED].
- Repeatedly acted improperly in relation to students in deprivation, by requiring intercourse in environments and contexts that go beyond the duties and assignments that may be considered normal for a lecturer.
- By expressing threats to reprisals against employees, you have committed a violation of the reprisal ban in the Discrimination Act.

irem

A.

that you have violated the above-mentioned obligations by:

- ☐ Repeated acted inappropriate and exposed employee and student for harassment. The action has had negative consequences for the activities, employees, students and the working environment at [REDACTED]
- ☐ Repeatedly acted improperly in relation to students in deprivation, by requiring intercourse in environments and contexts that go beyond the duties and assignments that may be considered normal for a lecturer.
- ☐ By expressing threats to reprisals against employees, you have committed a violation of the reprisal ban in the Discrimination Act.